

Daily Denial

What the Daily Mail reported...

IS THIS MOMENT RISHI TURNED TIDE?

FINALLY! COMMON SENSE ON NET ZERO

2030 petrol car ban to be delayed as eco pledges watered down

By Claire Ellicott and Jason Groves

RISHI Sunak is poised to ditch the 2030 ban on new petrol and diesel cars as he scales back costly green pledges.

In a dramatic policy shift, he is set to delay the switch to electric vehicles and slow the phasing-out of gas boilers. Pushing back the petrol and diesel ban from 2030 to 2035 would be a victory for the Mail's campaign to rethink the deadline.

The Prime Minister will set out the changes in a speech – possibly today – in which he will recommit to hitting 'net zero' carbon emissions by 2050, a target enshrined in law.

But he will argue that the goal can be met with a more 'pragmatic' approach that does not force onerous changes on the public.

Ministers believe the plan could help transform Tory fortunes and assist households struggling with the high cost of living.

Last night Mr Sunak said governments of all stripes have not been honest about costs and trade-offs, adding: 'Instead they have taken the easy way out, saying we can have it all.'

'This realism doesn't mean losing our ambition or abandoning our commitments. Far from it. I am proud that Britain is leading the world on climate change. We are committed to net zero by 2050 and the agreements we have made internationally – but doing so in a better, more proportionate way.'

'Our politics must again put the long-term interests of our country before the short-term political needs of the moment.'

'The Prime Minister confirmed that he had planned a speech in which he is expected to point out the UK is already a world leader in



Entente cordiale: Sir Keir Starmer is greeted by Emmanuel Macron yesterday.

Blair set up Keir's EU love-in

EXCLUSIVE SEE PAGES 6-7

Environmentalist plot legal challenge to Rishi Sunak's green U-turn on cars and boilers after he accuses them of not caring about the £15,000 cost to families of Net Zero plans

- PM Rishi Sunak doubled down on his decision to ditch or delay green policies
- Mr Sunak claimed Britain was already a world leader in tackling climate change

Environmentalists and leftwing activists will try to scupper the Prime Minister's plan to reduce the financial impact of climate change policies on families.

Friends of the Earth and the Good Law Project have both written to ministers warning that they could seek to undo the PM's U-turn at the High Court.

... please see over 



What the Daily Mail didn't report...

The Daily Mail supports Reckless Rishi's weakening of his Net Zero plans. It is harmful and will benefit very few

■ **Common Sense?**

How we get to Net Zero is the most important factor, not 2050. It is the area under the line that represents emissions (see diagram). Weakening Net Zero policy *will increase emissions, global heating and harm to life.*

■ **Cost?**

It will increase the cost of living and cost jobs!

So say a hundred economists who have written to Sunak to correct him (inews). His U-turn will cost **£2billion/year** through poor insulation; cost drivers **£6billion** due to EVs being cheaper upfront by 2030.

It will impact green jobs. When Cameron scrapped the 'Green Crap' in 2012, the result was a significant loss of Green job opportunities.

■ **Who wants this?**

Not the UK public - recent polls have shown that the majority of the UK public want more action on climate not less. (Ipsos, People's Poll, Guardian).

Not business, industry needs strong reliable leadership to grow and lead the transition. Many companies have already prepared for Net Zero transition. Ford UK have said that *any delay to the Gov's ICE car ban risks the EV transition.*

Not even major Tory Donor John Caudwell who 'refuses to back Sunak after his "crazy" U-turn on Green policies'



This is clearly a bad move, supported by the Daily Mail, don't your readers deserve better?

The transition to Net Zero will require a shift of investment from those polluting fossil fuel companies, that have deceived the public about climate risks for decades, to renewable energy. It is a political choice to place that burden onto the working public rather than taxing the polluters and those who invest in pollution.

ARE YOU OK WITH THIS?

